

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,937	08/21/2007	Anna Cederholm	EPCL:015US/ 10613209	6786
32425 FULBRIGHT	7590 07/12/201 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRE			WEN, SHARON X	
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11001114 111 10101			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/599,937	CEDERHOLM ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHARON WEN	1644	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replie application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (w for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 	ame day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	y Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortest forth in (i) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than t may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ded statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ration and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab non-allowable claim(s).	SC 112, second paragraph for insufficient antecedent basis.
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ window the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) objected to: ☐	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5 and 10.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1.6.8.9 and 11-13.</u>	
	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ne status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
can be located in the previous Office Action, mailed 64/26/20' itself would freat vulnerable plaque. In response to Applicant' the instant claims, the treatment of vulnerable plaque is not lit Therefore, Blankenberg's teaching of Annexin V conjugated it vulerable plaque (paragraph 10034) anticipate the instant clail USC 103 has been considered. However, claims 4 and 10 w argument is not applicable. In response to Applicant's argum Manzi says nothing about potential role for Annexin V in mode render obvious of using the treatment method aught by Blank	en found convincing for reasons of record. The rejection of record 10. Applicant aques that Blankenberg did not teach Annexin V by a argument, it is noted that given the recitation of "comprising" in it to Annexin V alone but only requires the presence of Annexing in it to Annexin V alone but only requires the presence of Annexing in a neflector protein to kill stressed cell in patients exhibiting ms. Applicant's argument on rejection of claims 4 and 10 under 35 re not rejection under 35 USC 103. Therefore, Applicant's are that Manar does not cure the deficiency of Blankenberg because lating plaque rupture, it is noted that the purpose of Manar is to enberg for SLE patients because SLE patients often exhibit
vulnerable plagues as taught by Manzi. Given that the claims	do not limit Annexin V to itself to be used in the treatment, one of onjugated with an effector protein to treat vulnerable plague in SLE
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/ 13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)

/Sharon Wen/ Examiner, Art Unit 1644

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100706